



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/545,188	04/07/2000	Katsuhisa Watanabe	862.C1887	1522
5514	7590 07/16/2004		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			NGUYEN, LE V	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2174	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Application No. O9/545,188 Examiner Le Nguyen Applicant(s) WATANABE, KATSUHISA Art Unit 2174

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examina	ation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🛚	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
о) <u> </u>	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fee have to fee under (2) as set	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if d, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. T	he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) [★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); ★ they raise new issues that the consideration and the consideration
(b) [they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) [they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) [they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3.□ A	applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
-	he a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	he affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly aised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
T	he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
(Claim(s) allowed:
(Claim(s) objected to:
(Claim(s) rejected:
(Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. T	he drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.□ N	lote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. 🗌 (Other:
	SY D. LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 07142004

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issues being: search means for acquiring, via a network, information concerning each of various image processing devices connected to the network, based on the settings information managed by said management means as recited in claim 1, and similarly recited in all amended independent claims.